

## Appendix 1 - Summary of submissions made in response to Phase 2 Speed Limit Orders

	Who	Order	Object / Support	Contents
1	Resident (Surrenden Road – Preston Area)	a-k	Support and object	Support overall proposals. Object to exceptions. Following roads should also have 20mph: - Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
2	Resident Highbank Westbank	c	Support and Object	Objects to majority of Westdene remaining at 30mph and believes the proposed 20mph area around the school to be too small.
3	Resident Patcham	a	Support	emphasize importance of enforcement
4	Resident Woodland Drive (Dyke road west area)	b	Object	will create traffic jams and confusion - 20mph should only be in central Brighton
5	Resident 5 ways	All	no objection	no objections but concerned about enforcement - without enforcement considers it a waste of time and money
6	Resident Warmdene Close Patcham	e	Support	People drive too fast especially on Carden Avenue
7	Resident Dyke Road Drive Dyke road east Area	c	Support	especially for Dyke Road Drive as concerned about speed as a parent and road is route to school. Would like to see it supported by traffic calming
8	Resident Tivoli Crescent	c	Support	especially The Drove - would like traffic calming considered in future if speeds do not reduce with signage
9	Residents Chester Terrace Preston Area	All	Object	object to the signage , people already drive less than 20mpoh and those that exceed it cannot be monitored. Waste of money and not needed in Preston area

10	Resident Dyke road Drive	c	Support	especially Dyke Road Drive as is a cut through. Desire to see further engineering measures to slow traffic on this road
11	Resident Goldstone Crescent (Dyke road West area)	b	Support and Object	Would like to see 20mph in this area especially on Goldstone Crescent
12	Resident Bevendean Crescent ( Mouslecoomb Area)	f h l j k	object	20mph limits are not needed - objects to all 20m-ph limits. Coldean lane works fine as is and speed camera caused shunts will cause more accidents frustrations and pollution
13	Resident Hove Park Road (Dyke road West)	b	Support	support as schools in the area and used as a rat run
14	Resident	all	object	waste of money when cutting children's and social services. Limits either not needed or will not be obeyed and will cause delays to bus services
15	Resident Hollingbury Park Avenue (Hollingdean Area)	d f	Support and object	feel strongly that Preston , Stanford and Surrenden should be reduced to 20mph
16	Resident Hollingdean Terrace	f	Object	no need for limit as area flows nicely and this will cause congestion
17	Resident Lawrence Road (West Hove Area)	a	Support	general support - will encourage more walking and cycling and make environment nicer
18	Resident Vardean Drive (Preston Area)	All specifically d	object	residents voted against it. Signage is "graffiti". Limit not needed and costly to implement - should be spent on services that area being cut and stop penalising drivers - "draconian measures " not wanted on this private road

19	Dyke Road Drive	c	Support	especially Dyke Road Drive to improve safety for peds, cyclists reduce pollution - would like traffic calming measures also on this road
20	Resident Coldean lane ( Coldean)	all	Support	will lead to safer roads and reduced pollution
21	Resident Toronto Terrace ( Phase 1 Area)	all	object	inclusion of main arterial routes as stated in consultation specifically objects to the inclusion of Ditchling road (south of fiveways), Coldean Lane reduction to 30mph, Bear Road reduction and Freshfield Road
22	Resident Waldegrave rd (Preston Area)	All	Support and object	general support - oppose the removal of Preston Drive and Stanford Ave as 30mph is not safe for these roads, used by children on routes to schools in the area
23	Resident St Leonards Road (West Hove)	a	Support	General Support - residential area with families - request for traffic humps to support the lower limit
24	Resident Dyke Road Drive	c	Support	specially inclusion of Dyke Road Drive due to rat running - safety reasons as currently unsafe for pedestrian cyclists and drivers - road used by lots of children to get to school

25	Resident Balfour road (Preston Area)	d	Object	unnecessary to change limit and will result in frustration for drivers - will be ignored by careless drivers. Roads that have been exepcted are the problem roads pedestrian crossing would have more effect that a lower limit at Preston drove/surrenden junction and n ditchling road - waste of money and officer time
26	Resident	e	Support and object	object to retention of 30mph in Carden Hill and Patcham in general - currently difficult for children and elderly to cross the road, should be encouraging children to travel sustainably by making roads safer
27	Resident Hollingbury Park Avenue (Hollingdean Area)	d f	support and object	all residential roads should have 20mph limits and some should even be 15mph. Object to exclusion of Preston Drive and Stanford Avenue as they are residential roads - to encourage motorists to speed up here is dangerous
28	Resident Brittany road (west Hove)	a	object	phase 1 was a waste of tax payers money nobody is adhering it and police are not enforcing it. 30mph is perfectly acceptable and safe and does not need to change. Anti car and this will have negative economic impact stationary vehicles cause more pollution not less

29	Hove Resident ( unclear if in phase 1 or 2 area)	all	object	new limits will do nothing to ease pollution or emissions - will create bottle necks - irritable motorists - cost is a waste when council tax proposed to go up walking and cycling everywhere is not the answer
30	Resident ( Patcham area)	e	Support and object	object to deferment of Patcham roads - something is needed to make roads safer in this area. Disappointed that council doing nothing in this area
31	Resident Surrenden Road ( Preston Area)	d	support	general support
32	Resident Southdown Avenue (Preston area)	all	object	do not agree with 20mph in road that are not adjacent to parks or schools - unnecessary, cause congestion, increase pollution and cost money we cannot afford
33	Resident Peacock Lane ( Preston Area)	d	support	specifically Peacock Lane narrow lane used by school children and rat running at speed
34	Resident St Georges Road ( East Brighton )	i	support	general support
35	Resident Dartmouth Crescent ( Bevendean)	h	Support and object	Dartmouth Crescent not listed in the order
36	Resident Freshfield Road (East Brighton Area)	i	Support	General support and specifically Freshfield Road inclusion - will make the area safer
37	Resident Bristol St (East Brighton)		object	waste of money and 20mph unwarranted

38	Resident Turner Road (Phase 1 East Brighton area)	all	object	20mph is more damaging to the environment as gear rotations are optimised for 30mph distracting for drivers. Object to Coldean lane because it is slow enough, not residential if anything should be increased - go and look at Germany
39	Resident St Marys Square (East Brighton)	a b c d e f	Object	scheme not in response to evidence based analysis of local road safety requirements - limit will be ignored by drivers as unrealistic. There is no existing statistical baseline safety information for the area to determine success
40	Resident Freshfield Road ( east Brighton )	i	support	especially freshfield road will create a safer environment
41	Resident Cowfold Road (East Brighton)	i	support	increases safety especially for children
42	Resident Balfour Road (Preston Area)	d	support	three schools in the area
43	Resident Dyke Road ( Dyke Road West)	a - i	object	waste of money, existing 20mph are ignored and police cannot/will not enforce it
44	Resident (West Hove)	a	support and object	support proposals but object to Portland Road being excluded.
45	Resident St Martins Place (Area 8)	a-i	object	blanket limit will be dangerous, cause tailgating, congestions and pollution, increase petrol consumption

46	Resident Mansfield Road (west Hove)	all	object	longstanding 30mph is common across Europe is a good balance. Will cause confusion, will delay people, suggests there is little evidence that lower limits will make roads safer
47	Resident Clarendon Terrace (East Brighton)	a-i	object	if current limits are not enforced what is the point of changing them
48	Resident Matlock Road (Dyke Road East)	all	Support	specifically TRO C for Matlock Road - will make it safer. Support 20mph across the city
49	Resident Norwich Close (Bevendean)	all	object	o benefits and increased congestion
50	Resident Kingston Close (Hangleton Phase 3)	all ( no order cited)	object	general opposition - slows traffic , increased congestion, pollution and travel time
51	Resident Cavendish Court ( East Brighton )	a	object	questions safety benefits
52	Resident palmeira Avenue (Phase 1)	all a-k	object	lower limits are unnecessary will slow traffic and increase congestion causing more pollution and frustration 30mph limit on Coldean lane is unnecessary as road is safe enough already
53	Resident St Michaels Place (Phase 1)	a-i	object	existing limit doesn't work in public consultation majority did not support. Money better spent elsewhere. Anti business, anti motorist anti school anti work and anti social
54	Resident Upper North Street (Phase 1)		object	waste of money, not enforceable so is universally ignored, increases emissions

55	Parent Lancing Prep	b	Support	especially The Droveaway, Hove park road and Elrington Road - make area safer for residents and children
56	Resident/Parent Lancing Prep	b	Support	especially area around Lancing Prep School
57	Parent Lancing Prep		Support	general support
58	Parent lancing prep		Object	waste of time and money . Money would be better spent improving parks, playgrounds and affordable homes and road safety education
59	Resident ditchling road	All	support	especially area 6 - lower limits will help change driving habits
60	Resident	b	Support	general support for The Droveaway
61	Resident	All	support	general support due to school locations
62	Resident Hangleton way (Phase 3 area)		object	waste of money
63	Resident southdown road (Preston)	b d	support	general support as would like to see traffic slowed and school location of the driveway
64	Resident surrender road and local business owner (	all	object	general objection "crippling the city"
65	Parent Lancing Prep	b	support	general support
66	Resident wilbury avenue ( Phase 1) parent lancing prep	b	support	general support
67	Resident The Drive (Phase 1)	b	support	general support
68	Resident Goldstone Crescent	b	support and object	Want the area to be 20mph because busiest parks in the area supports the driveway and hove park road would also like Shirley Drive and Goldstone Crescent
69	Resident wilbury villas and parent lancing prep	b	support	general support



70	Resident eldred Avenue ( westdene)	a b c d f g	object	object to restricting speed limit around the school - no need to change the national speed limit
71	Resident Pembroke Gardens ( west Hove)	a	object	erosion of city's ability to appeal to tourists who are car drivers. Will not encourage people to walk and cycle this is social engineering it is not your place to dictate the driving habits of an entire city. This is not your remit - we did not vote on whether we wanted these traffic orders.
72	Resident St Martins Place (Bevendean)	a	object	general objection slowing traffic does not stop accidents. Slower traffic makes more pollution gear changing causes more stress on vehicles and uses more fuel
73	Resident Queen Vic Avenue (Goldstone Valley)	all	object	general objection as congestion naturally slows traffic. It has not been proved that accidents reduce where there is a 20mph limit. Costs too much money
74	Resident Lloyd Road *(DR West)	b	support	general support to improve safety
75	Resident Beal Cres (Hollingdean)	all	object	general objection in principle waste of money
76	Brighton and Hove Bus Company	all	support	support dependant on orders remaining as is
77	Resident Redhill Drive (westdene)	all	object	general objection slowing down traffic and driving away visitors waste of money and unnecessary
78	Resident Newick Road Moulsecoomb	j	object	40mph limit helps relieve peak traffic congestion from lewes road

79	Resident William St (Phase 1 area)	a	object	if not enforced then not worth having - waste of money and supports disregard of other tros
80	Resident	b	support	General support
81	Resident Coleman Ave ( West Hove)	all	support and object	general support for 20mph but objects to exclusion of Portland Road as is most dangerous road in the area
82	Resident Chrisdor Road (Mile Oak Phase 3)	all	object	lowering limits causes congestion and distracts drivers
83	Resident Copse Hill (Westdene)	c	Object	does not need to be rolled out across entire city, outside school sis fine but should be school times only. Time and money better spent elsewhere repairing roads rather than just painting roundels, helping the homeless or rubbish collection. Haven't spoken to one resident who supports this
84	Resident Copse Hill (Westdene)	c	object	people don't obey existing limits/have made no difference. Limits are not enforced and are unenforceable . Waste of money - money should be spent improving road surfaces and better lighting or roads and paving, no factual evidence to support reduction of collisions disregard of needs, wants, desires of the electorate, and error in road listing in westdene area
85	Resident Nevill Avenue (Phase 3 area)	all	object	unnecessary polluting and waste of money better spent on potholes and resurfacing

86	Resident Dale Drive (Patcham)		object	not need so far out of town except for around schools. Anti green sentiment. No vote greater than vote so undemocratic. Better off repairing road surfaces. Police say they cant police it and no one complies in central Brighton makes pedestrians more lazy about their safety
87	Resident Hollingdean Terrace (Hollingdean)	a-i	object	frustrating stressful irrationally places, unenforceable and pointless. Extra pollution and fuel consumption from use of lower gears. 30mph is fine. At busy times not possible to exceed 20 so why enforce it. Difficult to drive at 20mph existing limit widely ignored why bother on what is only a "guide" limit constant abuse of roads by non drivers (ped and cyclist)
88	Resident The Drive (Phase 1)	b	support	general support re roads around Lancing Prep School
89	Resident College Terrace ( East Brighton)	i	support	would like to see northern section of Freshfield included due to use during Race events and car boot sales on Sundays and safety of visibility near the roundabout
90	Resident	b	support	especial The Driveway due to school location and road safety reasons
91	Sussex Police	all	no objection	tailored scheme, reassured of monitoring process. No objections and no objections to reduction of Coldean lane
92	Resident	b	support	general support due to school locations
93	Resident St Johns Place (Phase 1) - linked to lancing Prep	b	support	improved safety round school location ( Lancing Prep)

94	Resident Leahurst Court road ( Dyke Road East)	all	object	casualty reduction claim not valid without results of P1. Portsmouth shows casualty figures have not reduced. Air pollution level shave not reduced due to driving in lower gears
95	Resident Aymer Road (West Hove) parent lancing prep	b	support	especially the Drove way due to school and dog walkers who use it
96	Sue Shanks Ward Councillor	d	cllr Support and object	Support 20mph on Preston Drove, Stanford Ave and Surrenden Road
97	Resident preston Drove ( Preston)	all	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road, Preston Drove and Stanford Avenue
98	Resident Loder Road (Preston)	all	support and object	general support for safety reasons specific for Loder and bates and object to Surrenden being excluded as it should be 20mph
99	Resident Preston Drove ( Preston )	d	Support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
100	Resident Peacock Lane ( Preston)	d	Support and object	general support esp Peacock Lane and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
101	Resident Surrenden Road (Preston)	all	Support and object	general support for all especially e and d and want 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue and like Ditchling road reduced to 30mph at Woodbourne garage
102	Resident Peacock Lane (Preston)	d	Support and object	general support esp Peacock Lane and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue

103	Resident	all	support and object	general support esp Peacock Lane and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
104	Resident Preston Drove ( Preston)	d	Support and object	general support as well used area especially want 20mph on Preston Drove
105	Resident Preston Drove (Preston)	d	Support and Object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue because of schools and use of roads by children
106	Resident Grantham Road ( Preston)	all	Support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue because of schools and use of roads by children
107	Resident Osborne Road ( Preston)	d	Support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue because of schools and use of roads by children -evidence that 20mph safer
108	Resident Preston Drove (Preston)	d	Support and object	Want to see Preston Drove reduced to 20mph
109	resident Bristol gate (East Brighton)	all	object	general objection - vendetta against the motorist waste of money not necessary
110	Resident	d	support	particularly around schools and where children walking and cycle on routes to schools
111	Resident	all	Support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue (whole length)
112	Resident Cuthbert Road ( east Brighton)	i	Support	make streets safer for ped, cyclists and horse riders

113	Resident Whittingehame Gardens (Preston)	d	support and object	general support as residential roads with schools but should include full length of Surrenden Road
114	Resident Lowther Road (Preston)	d	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on PD/SA and Surrenden Road especially Preston Drove
115	Resident	all	support	general support
116	Campaign for Better Transport East Sussex	all	Org Support	general support as will encourage and enable cycling , have little impact on journey times
117	Resident Florence Road	all	Support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on PD/SA and Surrenden Road
118	Resident Preston Drove ( Preston)	d	Support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on PD/SA and Surrenden Road especially Preston Drove
119	Resident Rugby Road (Preston)	a-i	support	safer and improves traffic flow
120	Resident Surrenden Road	a-j	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on PD/SA and Surrenden Road especially Surrenden due to the schools
121	Resident Preston Drove	d	Support and object	general support for roads off Five ways ( Preston Drove and Stanford Ave)
122	Resident Stanford Ave ( Preston)	all	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
123	Resident Ditchling Road ( Preston)	d f	support and object	especially for Ditchling Road south of fiveways - make roads safer and more pleasant and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue ( whole length)
124	Resident Beaconsfield Villas (Preston)	all	support	casualty reduction is a public health priority and this will help, reduce perception of danger and remove it as barrier to healthy travel choices increased walking and cycling will reduce emissions and improve air quality by reducing congestion, improve conditions for vulnerable road users and ease community severance

125	Resident Beaconsfield Villas ( Preston)	all	support	casualty reduction is a public health priority and this will help, reduce perception of danger and remove it as barrier to healthy travel choices increased walking and cycling will reduce emissions and improve air quality by reducing congestion, improve conditions for vulnerable road users and ease community severance
126	Resident Evelyn Terrace (East Brighton) allotment owner ( Bevendean)	l h	Support	will improve safety and encourage residents to walk in the area
127	Resident Preston Drove ( Preston)	all	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
128	Resident Havelock Road Preston )	d	Support and object	object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue against officers recommendations - essential for public safety
129	Resident Stanford Avenue ( Preston)	d	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue - concerned that these roads have been left out
130	Resident ladies mile road ( Patcham)	e	support and object	objects to exclusion of roads in Patcham and Hollingbury as is a residential area particularly braybon Avenue
131	Resident Harrington Villas ( Preston)	d	support and object	general support and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
132	Resident St keyna Ave (west Hove)	a i	object	a MC rider is dangerous, riding in lower gear at higher revs - 20mph removes responsibility from good drivers. Making drivers angry
133	Resident old London road ( Patcham)	e	support and object	objects to exclusion of the Mackie Estate, vale Avenue and Church Hill
134	Resident	all	object	since P1 has seen increase in fuel consumption - number of incidents ahs not reduced but has increased - excluded roads should be 40mph - 20mph only at school entrances during school time s

135	Resident	d	support and object	Support due to school locations and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
136	Resident Gordon Road (Preston)	d	support and object	Support due to school locations, parks to improve safety and want to see 20mph on Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
137	Resident Havelock Road (Preston)	a b c d	support	general support for community benefit
138	Resident Canfield Road (Bevendean)	all	Support	need speed reduction to reduce pollution, noise and increase safety
139	Resident The Crestway (Hollingdean)	a	object	more traffic jams and more pollution (opposition to lewes road)
140	Resident and Business Owner Goldstone Road (Phase 1 area)	all	object	general objection agree outside schools but only during school times trying to enforce a blanket reduction mean drivers less like It to obey where needed people prefer using cars money better spent on refuse collection or making buses cheaper anti business people do not want this
141	Resident Sackville Road ( West Hove)	all	object	waste of money - should be lowered around schools ( during school times) and hospitals only
142	Resident Gloucester Road (Phase 1)	a-i	object	general objection to 20mph limits anywhere - do not work, cause congestion and increase pollution due to need to drive in lower gear and increase in fuel consumption
143	Resident newmarket Road ( Phase 1)	all	object	general objection agree outside schools but only during school times trying to enforce a blanket reduction mean drivers less like It to obey where needed people prefer using cars money better spent on refuse collection or making buses cheaper anti business people do not want this



144	Resident At Anns Wells Gardens Area	b	support and object	support for roads included but object to exclusion of other roads especially around the parks inc Hove Park Road, Goldstone Crescent, Orchard Road and western section of The Drove way - kids routes to schools, streets are public roads not private estates. wishes of local residents are not the only factor
145	Resident Varndean Road ( Preston)	d	support and object	general support for 20mph but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue as all are regularly used by children and proximity to schools. Minimal impact on bus journeys would be overshadowed by safety benefits
146	Resident Glebe Villas ( West Hove)		object	have seen more accidents since introduction of 20mph so more dangerous and caused more pollution. Money would be better spent elsewhere
147	Resident out of city - Southwick	all	object	pointless and unenforceable. Waste of money that would be better used elsewhere
148	Resident Surrenden Road (Preston )	d	support and object	should include all of Surrenden Rd - location of schools leaving it out will increase traffic flows. Public health and casualty reduction arguments cited
149	Resident Hornby Road (Bevendean)	h	object	current limits are sufficient - journey time and congestion
150	Resident Hartington Road ( Phase 1)	a b	object	20mph is dangerous and more polluting
151	Resident out of town East Sussex	a	object	people don't obey existing zone - no one wants this and council is forcing it on everyone will not make roads safer people are less aware in slower zone
152	Resident Auckland Drive ( Moulsecocomb)	j	object	use Coldean lane regularly and do not want journey time extended any further - anti lewes road scheme. Scheme is anti car
153	Resident Florence Road (Preston)	all	support and object	support 20mph but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue as they are residential streets

154	Resident Balfour Road ( Preston)	d e	support and object	support 20mph but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
155	Resident	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
156	Resident	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
157	Resident Shanklin Road (Phase 1)	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue also object to exclusion of Braybon Avenue, carden Hill and would like Ditchling Road 20mph extended north of Fiveways
158	Resident Surrenden Road ( Patcham section) )	d e	support and object	support 20mph and object to exclusion of roads in Patcham and Hollingbury especially braybon, carden avenue and ditchling - schools in area, young families and elderly. Additional signage required by exclusions is costly and detrimental to area E/W section of surrenden isn't a bus route
159	Resident Lowther Road ( Preston)	d	support and object	support 20mph but would like Preston Drove reduced to make it safer especially for cycling
160	Resident in Hassocks - out of city	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
161	Resident Gordon Road (Preston)	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
162	Resident (West Hove)	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
163	Resident Lowther Road ( Preston)	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
164	Resident Phase 1 area	all	support and object	Support orders but object to exclusion of Portland Road, Hove park road, goldstone Cres, orchard road and The Droveaway and other roads in DRW area, westdene streets, Patcham and Hollingbury street, Surrenden Road/PD/SA
165	Resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
166	Resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue

167	Resident Preston Drove	d	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue as will be confusing for drivers, consistent speeds will be better for flow of traffic,
168	resident Waldegrave Road (Preston)	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
169	Resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
170	Resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
171	Resident Preston Drove ( Preston)	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Stanford Avenue and Preston Drove - are not major bus routes
172	Resident Hollingbury Park Avenue ( Hollingdean)	d e f	support	road safety benefits, increase confidence for cyclists school locations on ditchling road quality of life will improve
173	Resident	de	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
174	resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
175	Resident Surrenden Road	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
176	Resident Chester Terrace (Preston)	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
177	visitor to City - Resident in west Sussex	a -i	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
178	Resident Edburton Avenue (Preston)	a-i	object	existing limits have crippled the city, increased pollution and increased journey times by 50%, higher risk of accidents from frustrated drivers
179	Resident Havelock Road	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue
180	Resident North Road (DYE)	d	support and object	support (will encourage cycling) orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drove - Stanford Avenue

181	Resident (West Hove)	all	support and object	support (safer healthier neighbourhoods) orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
182	Resident Osbourne Road ( Preston)	all	support and object	improved safety and quality of city through reduced road noise and opps for cycling - object that Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue not included also want Ditchling included further north
183	Resident Frederick Gardens (Phase 1)	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue also Braybon Ave carden Hill and Ditchling Road
184	Resident Surrenden Road	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
185	Resident Surrenden Crescent ( Preston)	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive
186	Resident Hill Drive (DRW)	b	Support and object	object to exclusion of Hill Drive due to steep gradient, sharp bend and narrow pavements
187	Resident Saunder park View ( Hollingdean)	a-i	object	wont work, money would be better spent on other road projects like resurfacing, cant be enforced by the police, causes more pollution as cars have to drive on higher gears
188	Resident Chester Terrace ( Preston)	all	support and object	cleaner, quieter and safer for everyone but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue - buses not a credible reason
189	Resident Preston Drive ( Preston)	d	Support and object	object to exclusion of Preston Drive - location of park , cricket ground tennis club, church - will encourage drivers to speed on Preston is excluded
190	Resident Gladys Road ( West Hove)	all	Support and object	Support 20mph and object to all the exclusions
191	Resident New Church Road	a	support and object	support 20mph but objects to exclusion of Portland road due to collision/casualty record and exclusion of New Church road due to location of nurseries - residential roads with community facilities
192	Resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue

193	Resident Preston Drive	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
194	Resident Havelock Road	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
195	Bricycles CTC	all	support and object	support orders but object to excluded roads
196	Resident Southover Street (Phase 1 )	d	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
197	Resident Stanford Avenue ( Preston)	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
198	Resident Freshfield Road ( East Brighton)	d e i	Support and object	support, especially freshfield Rd but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue and should include all residential roads within Hollingbury and Patcham
199	Resident	d e	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
200	Resident Fiveways (Preston)	all	support and object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue and other roads excluded
201	Resident Southdown Avenue ( Preston)	all	Object	not necessary and waste of money when cuts are being made to essential services
202	Resident Harrington Road	d e	Support and Object	support orders but object to exclusion of Surrenden Road - Preston Drive - Stanford Avenue
203	Resident Stanford Ave ( Preston)	d	object	causes pollution and increase journey times, difficult to adhere to on arterial roads
204	Resident Matlock Road	b c	support	supports - improve safety, especially The Drove way tivoli and matlock Roads
205	Resident Surrenden Road	a d	object	waste of money - council has done nothing to ease accident hotspots, making town impossible to move in
206	Resident Overhill Gardens	e	object	no evidence that 20mph limits work or reduce collisions. Consultation has removed mandate for scheme





